

Harvesting Justice Episode One Sources and Claims

PART 1 - WHAT IS FETAL RESEARCH (4:40)

05:05 - NIH definition of fetal tissue research.

<https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK231997>

08:30 - Consent forms for “tissue collection” are handed to parents before the abortion appointment, when fetuses are still alive in utero.

<https://prolifesf.com/s/Public-Records-Production-April-19th-2021.pdf>

<https://prolifesf.com/s/Public-Records-Production-2-June-25th-2021.pdf>

08:55 - UCSF personnel abort healthy fetuses from healthy parents up to the 24th week of pregnancy at SF General Hospital. One procedure utilized late in pregnancy is called “Dilation & Evacuation.”

<https://zsfg.ucsf.edu/ucsf-zuckerberg-san-francisco-general-vice-deans-office>

<https://obgyn.ucsf.edu/san-francisco-general-hospital/zsfg-womens-options-center-6g>

09:48 - UCSF calling fetuses babies.

<https://ucsf.edu/news/2006/09/102171/high-tech-surgery-saving-lives-unborn-babies>

<https://ucsf.edu/news/2011/02/98205/fetal-surgery-spina-bifida-more-effective-operating-after-birth>

<https://ucsfhealth.org/conditions/pregnancy/prenatal-care>

10:47 - UCSF labs conduct experiments using the skin, lungs, brains, genitals, and other remains from elective induced abortions — coordinating for the swift transfer of baby body parts.

<https://prolifesf.com/s/Public-Records-Production-pt-2-June-11th-2021.pdf> (Pages 1, 114-115)

<https://govtribe.com/file/government-file/niaiddaidsnihai2012153-rfp-niaid-daids-nihai2012153-dot-pdf> (Page 98)

<https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7703872/#:~:text=experimental,hospital>

12:13 - Healthy fetuses at 22, 23, and 24 gestational weeks are used – the same developmental stages when preemies have survived outside the uterus and been viable.

<https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6715406/#:~:text=study%20approval,abnormality>

16:00 - Salivary gland project.

<https://reporter.nih.gov/search/Boe5laimEaWGIU1yLMAZg/projects>

PART 2 - THE TORTURE ASPECT (19:20)

19:48 - Fetal gut study.

[https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5328284/#:~:text=fetal%20gut%20tissues%20\(18,mic](https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5328284/#:~:text=fetal%20gut%20tissues%20(18,mic)
[e](#)

22:19 - The most up to date research demonstrates that fetuses between 13 and 24 weeks feel pain, which would make UCSF's digoxin-free D&E procedures torturous live dismemberments.
<https://jme.bmj.com/content/46/1/3>

24:34 - D&E procedure.

<https://uptodate.com/contents/second-trimester-pregnancy-termination-dilation-and-evacuation>

Full Text: <https://www.medilib.ir/uptodate/show/104595>

25:23 - Deborah Nucatola on altering procedures.

<https://youtube.com/watch?v=H4UjIM9B9KQ&t=1778s>

28:24 - Another abortion procedure utilized late in pregnancy at UCSF hospitals is called "Labor Induction Termination."

<https://web.archive.org/web/20170226044317/http://ryanprogram.org/sites/default/files/Ryan%20Program%20Milestones.pdf>

28:46 - Digoxin.

[https://www.contraceptionjournal.org/article/S0010-7824\(10\)00019-3/fulltext#:~:text=abortion%20providers%20in.routes](https://www.contraceptionjournal.org/article/S0010-7824(10)00019-3/fulltext#:~:text=abortion%20providers%20in.routes)

28:54 - Leaders in abortion at UCSF are against the use of digoxin, an injection administered through a parent's abdomen to stop the heart of a fetus in utero.

http://boston.com/news/local/articles/2007/08/10/shots_assist_in_aborting_fetuses

Full Text:

https://web.archive.org/web/20080706155244/http%3A%2F%2Fboston.com%2Fnews%2Flocal%2Farticles%2F2007%2F08%2F10%2Fshots_assist_in_aborting_fetuses%2F

<https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4540638>

29:00 - Misoprostol in labor induction abortions.

<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1016/S0968-8080%2808%2931371-8#:~:text=misoprostol%20is%20a.pregnancy>

29:34 - Unintended live births.

[https://contraceptionjournal.org/article/S0010-7824\(10\)00019-3/fulltext#:~:text=in%20the%20literature%20describing.unintended%20live%20birth](https://contraceptionjournal.org/article/S0010-7824(10)00019-3/fulltext#:~:text=in%20the%20literature%20describing.unintended%20live%20birth)

29:40 - Feticidal injections are known to ruin the quality of specimens for specific research purposes.

[https://www.contraceptionjournal.org/article/S0010-7824\(15\)00311-X/fulltext](https://www.contraceptionjournal.org/article/S0010-7824(15)00311-X/fulltext)

Abstract available here: <https://ur.booksc.me/book/47644491/3963b1>

30:17 - Digoxin failure.

<https://sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0010782407005112>

30:33 - A doctor's attempt to induce a stillbirth without digoxin runs a high risk of causing a live birth. The delivery of a live child can occur in 50% of such labor induction abortions late in pregnancy.

[https://contraceptionjournal.org/article/S0010-7824\(11\)00057-6/fulltext#:~:text=deliberately,anal%20ogues](https://contraceptionjournal.org/article/S0010-7824(11)00057-6/fulltext#:~:text=deliberately,anal%20ogues)

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29420410/#:~:text=conclusion,50.6%>

31:20 - Unintended live births. (repeated)

[https://contraceptionjournal.org/article/S0010-7824\(10\)00019-3/fulltext#:~:text=in%20the%20literature%20describing,unintended%20live%20birth](https://contraceptionjournal.org/article/S0010-7824(10)00019-3/fulltext#:~:text=in%20the%20literature%20describing,unintended%20live%20birth)

32:25 - When labor induction abortions are done without feticidal injections, the intended cause of death is the trauma of labor. Neither mifepristone or misoprostol are feticidal.

<https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/details?prodcode=R45161> (Page 17)

33:10 - Express statements from Deborah Nucatola.

<https://youtube.com/watch?v=H4UjIM9B9KQ&t=6388s>

35:41 - Extramural delivery of stillborn and live children.

<https://medilib.ir/uptodate/show/104595#:~:text=two%20randomized,patient>

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22067811>

36:42 - Injection methods.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22959902>

37:50 - More on digoxin failure.

[https://contraceptionjournal.org/article/S0010-7824\(09\)00409-0/fulltext](https://contraceptionjournal.org/article/S0010-7824(09)00409-0/fulltext)

PART 3 - WHAT CAN WE DO (39:00)

39:10 - There is a history of abortion providers denying life-saving care to viable infants who were accidentally born alive.

<https://bit.ly/gosnell-grand-jury>

<https://snopes.com/fact-check/23-weeks-baby-abortion>

<https://pulitzer.org/finalists/liz-jeffries-and-rick-edmonds>

<https://nationalpost.com/news/canada/born-alive-dead-in-moments-grey-zone-of-live-birth-abortions-a-deep-divide-between-mps-and-physicians>

40:50 - Harvesting records.

<https://prolifesf.com/s/UCSF-Communications-June-14-25th-2021.pdf>

<https://prolifesf.com/s/Public-Records-Production-June-14th-2021.pdf>

41:30 - Live Action distinguishing supported claims from the case for criminal activity.

<https://liveaction.org/news/ucsf-callous-abortion-harvesting-exposed-records>

42:03 - Politifact muddying the waters.

<https://politifact.com/factchecks/2021/jul/16/facebook-posts/no-uc-san-francisco-not-harvesting-genitalia-murde>

43:38 - California's Born Alive Act.

https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=HSC§ionNum=123435

44:10 - Live Action refutes Politifact.

<https://liveaction.org/news/politifact-confirms-veracity-ucsf-harvesting-body-parts>

44:33 - UCSF using the word "harvested."

<https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5498133/#:~:text=harvested>

<https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7703872/#:~:text=harvested>

OUTLINE OF CLAIMS

The following statements are verifiable through UCSF and government web pages, news articles, medical journals, and documents obtained through the California Public Records Act (PRA No. 020-107 and 021-091).

Abortion Practices

- Healthy fetuses from healthy parents are aborted by university personnel up to the 24th week of pregnancy.
- The two abortion procedures utilized late in pregnancy at UCSF hospitals are called "Dilation & Evacuation" and "Labor Induction Termination."
- Leaders in abortion at UCSF are against the use of digoxin, an injection administered through a parent's abdomen to stop the heart of a fetus in utero.

Lab Research

- UCSF labs conduct experiments using the skin, lungs, brains, genitals, and other remains from elective induced abortions.
- Consent forms for "tissue collection" are handed to parents before the abortion appointment, when fetuses are still alive in utero.
- Specimen collectors coordinate with the abortion facilities for the swift transfer of baby body parts from completed abortion procedures.
- Healthy fetuses at 22, 23, and 24 gestational weeks are used – the same developmental stages when preemies have survived outside the uterus and been viable.

Procedures and Outcomes

- The most up to date research demonstrates that fetuses between 13 and 24 weeks feel pain, which would make UCSF's digoxin-free D&E procedures torturous live dismemberments.

- Feticidal injections are known to ruin the quality of specimens for specific research purposes.
- When labor induction abortions are done without feticidal injections, the intended cause of death is the trauma of labor.
- The doctor's attempt to induce a stillbirth without digoxin runs a high risk of causing a live birth.
- The delivery of a live child can occur in 50% of such labor induction abortions late in pregnancy.
- There is a history of abortion providers denying life-saving care to viable infants who were accidentally born alive.

The Case For Criminal Activity

We have long been concerned about the opportunity for crimes to be committed behind closed doors at UCSF. The same pressures that have motivated proven killers in the past exist at this university, and it's in the hands of leaders such as the UC Board of Regents and Chancellor Sam Hawgood to investigate the practices of their staff members. But instead of entering into a dialogue with us to dispel any concerns about child neglect and infanticide, their stance since the Fall of 2019 has been to ignore us.

In 2021, UCSF said to Politifact that in all the years they have been aborting children they have never had a live birth, which only heightens our concerns and makes our case stronger. It would be a statistical anomaly for live births not to be an occurrence at this high-volume institution with a long history of aborting children. And at this time, there is no oversight in place to back up UCSF's claim.

Reflections

UCSF laboratories have chosen study designs that make them reliant on elective abortions when they can craft alternative methods of study with other tissue sources. This practice continues to this day with the full support of Chancellor Sam Hawgood. There is clear motivation on their part to keep these corpses coming and for unborn children to meet their demise in a painful, gruesome manner which leaves their organs usable for research. The University must use ethical alternatives instead of manufacturing a dependency on abortion.

Our Demands

UCSF's leadership, including the Chancellor and Institutional Review Board, must require ethical research in all laboratories, separating elective abortion from scientific discovery. Together with the UC Regents, they must open an investigation into criminal activity and implement oversight protocols – preventative measures to ensure accountability and proper treatment of abortion survivors.